

REMARKS

Applicants have studied the Office Action of May 6, 2010, and take this opportunity to present arguments in support of patentability. Claim 1 has been amended. It is believed that upon objective review of the claims, and particularly analyzing this information at the time the invention was made, that it will be clear that the claims define over any fair teaching attributable to the prior art.

Applicants believe that this application is now in condition for allowance and early notice thereof is respectfully requested.

Drawing Objections

The drawings were objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The recitation of the dimples extending completely through circular openings has been removed from claim 1. Thus, this objection has been overcome and the drawings need no revision.

35 USC § 103 Rejections

Claims 1, 5, 6 and 9 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Winquist (U.S. Patent No. 1,164,439) in view of Vargo (U.S. Patent No. 4,553,725), Young (U.S. Patent No. 3,677,202), Evans (U.S. Patent No. 3,168,365) and Znamirovski (U.S. Patent No. 4,288,132).

Claim 1 has been amended to recite that a first side wall has flanges extending from opposite edges thereof and at least one flange has a pair of circular openings positioned near opposite ends of the flange. The side wall has a central wall from which the flanges extend. A first support member is punched out of the central wall at an end of the central wall opposite the end of the central wall adjacent the flange having circular openings. The second side wall has flanges extending from a central wall and at least one of the flanges has a pair of circular openings formed therein. A second support member is punched out of the central wall of the second side wall. A support wall is parallel to the first and second side walls and is perpendicular to the base wall. Support for these claim amendments is clearly seen in Figure 6 of the present specification.

None of the art of record teaches or suggests a side wall having a flange with a pair of circular openings formed therein and a central wall having a support member punched out of the central wall at an end opposite an end of the central wall adjacent

the flange having circular openings.

Specifically, Winquist teaches a plurality of keys 7, 8 and notches 58 for receiving the keys, but does not teach circular openings on opposite ends of a flange of a central wall, and a support member formed in a central wall on an opposite side of the central wall from the flange having circular openings.

Vargo teaches a clip with protuberances 94 and circular openings 64, but not a flange with a pair of circular openings on one side of a side wall central wall and a support member formed in the central wall on an opposite side of the side wall from the flange with the openings.

Young teaches a tongue 25 extending from flange 23, but not circular openings formed on a flange opposite a support member formed in a central wall.

Evans teaches hooks 17 formed on strips 18, not a support member formed in a central wall or a flange with circular openings therein.

Znamirowski merely teaches a door having a hinge mouth to a cabinet.

Thus, applicants respectfully submit that none of the art of record, alone or in combination, teaches the recitations of amended claim 1. As such, claim 1 as amended, and claims 5, 6 and 9 dependent thereon are in condition for allowance over the art of record.

35 USC § 112 Rejections

Claims 1, 5, 6 and 9 were objected to under 35 U.S.C., first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The limitations, "wherein one of said dimples of one of said first, second and third support members extends completely through one of said pair of circular openings" and "wherein the support wall does not have support members for supporting said shelf" have been removed from claim 1. As such, this objection has been overcome.

CONCLUSION

Applicants respectfully submit that the present Amendment removes issue for appeal, or in some other way, requires only a cursory review by the Examiner. The claims as amended do not raise any issues with regard to new matter, do not present new issues requiring further search or consideration and/or place the application into better for appeal. Accordingly, the amendment should be entered and the application forwarded for issuance.


For the reasons detailed above, it is respectfully submitted that all claims remaining in the application are now in condition for allowance.

No additional fee is believed to be required for this Amendment. If, however, a fee is due, the Commissioner is authorized to charge our Deposit Account No. 06-0308.

In the event the Examiner believes a telephone call would expedite prosecution, he is invited to call the undersigned.

Respectfully submitted,

FAY SHARPE LLP



James E. Scarbrough, Reg. No. 47,056
The Halle Building, 5th Floor
1228 Euclid Avenue
Cleveland, Ohio 44115-1843
216.363.9000

Date:


8/5/10

Certificate of Electronic Transmission

I hereby certify that this Amendment After Final and accompanying documents are being filed on the date indicated below by electronic transmission with the United States Patent and Trademark Office via the electronic filing system (EFS-Web).

August 5, 2010

Date



By: Kara L. Krist